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1. Executive Summary

WSU’s undergraduate degree programs report annually on their system of assessing student learning, a practice begun in 2009. The Office of Assessment for Curricular Effectiveness (ACE), formerly the Office of Assessment of Teaching and Learning (ATL), collects the reports, analyzes the data, and prepares summaries for the colleges and institution (see Appendix A). This document compiles data from undergraduate program assessment reports; the 69 reports submitted in 2021 represent 64 undergraduate degrees, with over 90 majors, 80 minors, and 100 in-major specializations (see Appendix B). This summary, like the annual reports themselves, looks at key or representative activities and uses in order to provide a useful snapshot for leadership; it is not intended to show all assessment undertaken by WSU programs.

Note: Thresholds for program assessment reporting, implemented in 2019, again modified the reporting process in 2021 for small programs (see Appendix A). The BA in Comparative Ethnic Studies, BA in Human Biology, BA in Music, and BA in Women’s, Gender, and Sexuality Studies fell below the reporting threshold for 2021. This summary excludes these programs for 2021.

2021 WSU-Wide Context. Overall, the university’s undergraduate degree offerings continue to expand, with more degrees approved to extend to another campus/location, including online. In line with WSU’s system strategic plan, concept papers were released to spark further discussions around the ONEWSU System concept, along with the release of a plan to appoint a WSU Pullman Chancellor. Several leadership changes occurred in 2021, including a new Interim Vice Provost for Academic Engagement and Student Achievement, the appointment a permanent Dean in the College of Arts and Sciences, and an Interim Dean in the College of Agricultural, Human and Natural Resource Sciences.

In fall 2021, most classes at WSU returned to in-person instruction following the move to distance education beginning March 2020 as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Many programs reported that the time and energy spent adapting to the conditions presented by the COVID-19 pandemic (including adapting courses for various delivery formats and accommodations, supporting students, enrollment impacts, health concerns, and managing the many other impacts on work and personal life) affected faculty members’ abilities to complete some program assessment activities. A number of programs also reported that their students expressed difficulty completing their best work due to the many impacts of the pandemic on their lives (school, work, personal, financial, etc.).

Targets for Meaningful Assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥ 90%) reporting that program assessment elements are in place and that program-level student learning outcomes data, including the achievement of program-level student learning outcomes near the end of the curriculum, are regularly collected and used to inform decision-making about teaching, learning, and curricula. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. Recognizing that the COVID-19 pandemic context and impact remained fluid and unpredictable, and that faculty, staff, and students continued to manage concerns at work and home, ACE published guidance to support programs in prioritizing program assessment work in 2021 (see Appendix C).

In line with WSU’s 2020-2025 System Strategic Plan “Goal 2: Student Experience” objective of enhancing the quality of the undergraduate academic experience, WSU’s overarching goal is for program assessment to be meaningful and useful to faculty and programs. University-wide targets for program assessment are intended to monitor the status of program assessment systems at WSU, while recognizing that making meaningful adjustments to program assessment elements, processes, and tools takes time (see Appendix D). In any given year, a number of programs may experience a change in their context, prompting faculty to revisit program assessment elements, processes, and/or tools. For example, faculty might work to refresh a measure to increase the quality of their data or to align with changes to student learning outcomes, curriculum, or instruction. WSU’s approach encourages faculty to develop program assessment systems that are sustainable, with room to respond to the evolving needs of teaching, learning, and curriculum.
WSU Undergraduate Program Assessment: Areas of Strength

A. **Overall.** Undergraduate degree program assessment at WSU contributes to an “effective, regular, and comprehensive system of assessment of student achievement,” as expected by the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU), WSU’s regional accreditor. The use of program-level student learning outcomes assessment by faculty to improve degree programs, including decisions about curricula, instruction, faculty development, and assessment processes, enhances student learning.

B. **Faculty Engage in Assessment Activities.** Substantially all programs reported that two or more faculty engaged in assessment activities in 2021 (94%). Additionally, substantially all programs reported that assessment was discussed by the majority of faculty who teach over the past two years (95%). (See pages 15-16.)

C. **Undergraduate Degree Programs Collect Indirect Measures Near the End of the Curriculum.** Substantially all programs reported collecting at least one indirect measure near the end of the curriculum over the past two years (95%), providing information associated with student learning, such as experiences, perceived success, or satisfaction as students are completing the curriculum. (See pages 10-11.)

WSU Undergraduate Program Assessment: Areas for Attention

Note: WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

A. **Ensuring Key Elements of Program Assessment are in Place.** While substantially all programs reported having program-level student learning outcomes (100%), curriculum maps (94%), and assessment plans (92%) in place in 2021, only 81% of programs collected a direct measure, only 88% collected an indirect measure, and only 83% of programs used assessment to inform decision-making in 2021. The Key Elements of Program Assessment form the framework for useful, sustainable assessment at WSU. (See table below and page 7.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Assessment Elements</th>
<th>Undergraduate Degree Program Assessment Reports, 2019-2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019 (66 Degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Learning Outcomes</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum Map</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Measure</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Measure</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment Plan</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Assessment*</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use of Assessment includes use of any program-level assessment; Section 7A of this report looks at uses of assessment aligned with specific program-level student learning outcomes for decisions about curriculum/instruction and faculty/TA development.

B. **Status of Program-level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) and Curriculum Maps.** While all programs reported having program-level SLOs in 2021 (100%), only 81% reported that their program-level SLOs were up to date over the past two years. Additionally, while substantially all programs reported having a curriculum map in 2021 (94%), only 63% reported that their curriculum map was up to date over the past two years. (See page 8.)

C. **Regular Discussions of Assessment by Program Leadership and a Faculty Committee or the Majority of Faculty who Teach.** Only 86% of programs reported that assessment was discussed by program leadership in 2021 and only 89% reported that assessment was discussed by a faculty committee or the majority of faculty who teach. Faculty play critical roles in interpreting and discussing assessment to assist in making data-informed decisions about curriculum, instruction, professional development, and assessment. (See pages 15-16.)
D. **Using Assessment to Inform Decision-making, Including Using Results Aligned with Specific Program-level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) to Improve Curriculum and Instruction.** Only 83% of programs reported using any program-level assessment to inform decision-making in 2021. Further, only 83% of programs reported using assessment aligned with specific program-level SLOs to inform decisions about curriculum, instruction, or faculty development over the past three years. While all forms of assessment can provide useful information for program improvement, assessment aligned with specific program-level SLOs is crucial to supporting quality undergraduate curricula and student achievement. (See figure below and pages 13-14.)

E. **Regularly Collecting Measures of Student Learning, Including Direct Measures Near the End of the Curriculum.** While substantially all programs reported collecting at least one assessment measure in 2021 (95%), only 81% of programs reported collecting a direct measure and only 88% of programs reported collecting an indirect measure in 2021. Additionally, only 84% of programs reported collecting a direct measure near the end of the curriculum over the past two years. (See figure below and pages 9-11.)
F. **Evaluating Achievement of Program-level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) Near End of Curriculum.** In 2018, the NWCCU recommended that WSU incorporate program-level SLO assessment findings into the evaluation of university mission fulfillment. First implemented in 2019, programs were again asked to report on the extent to which students were meeting faculty-determined expectations for the degree’s program-level SLOs near the end of the curriculum.

While all program-level SLOs do not need to be measured annually, achievement of program-level SLOs near the end of the curriculum should be measured and reviewed within a reasonable cycle. Only 61% of programs reported reviewing assessment results that indicated student achievement of program-level SLOs near the end of the curriculum over the past two years. In some programs, it may be that their results were unclear or not sufficiently representative of majors, or that faculty had not discussed results in relation to a minimum threshold of competency for majors. (See page 12.)

G. **Assessing Student Learning in Degrees Offered Online.** In 2021, 17 undergraduate degrees were offered online through Global Campus, 15 of which met the threshold for reporting on program assessment for the online degree (see Appendix A). All of these programs reported collecting at least one assessment measure near the end of the curriculum for the online degree over the past two years (100%), with substantially all collecting an indirect measure (93%); however, only 60% of programs reported collecting a direct measure near the end of the curriculum for the online degree over the past two years. Assuring educational quality in degrees offered online remains a national concern. In degrees offered online, as well as programs considering extending to online, it is critical for university, campus, college, and department leadership to ensure that online students, courses, and teaching faculty are included in assessment activities, and that programs collect measures near the end of the curriculum with sufficient sample size and representation for online students. (See page 17.)

H. **Assessing Student Learning in Multi-Campus Programs.** In 2021, 28 undergraduate degrees were offered on more than one campus and reported on program assessment (see Appendix A). All of these programs reported collecting at least one assessment measure near the end of the curriculum on each campus where offered over the past two years (100%), with substantially all collecting an indirect measure on each campus (93%); however, only 79% of multi-campus programs reported collecting a direct measure near the end of the curriculum on each campus over the past two years. In degrees offered at more than one campus/location, as well as programs considering extending to additional campuses/locations, it is critical for university, campus, college, and department leadership to ensure that assessment is prioritized and resourced to include students, courses, and faculty from all campuses/locations offering the degree. (See pages 18-19.)

I. **Including Specific Timelines in Program Assessment Plans.** While substantially all programs reported that they had an assessment plan in 2021 (92%), only 78% of programs reported that their assessment plan included a specific timeline for assessment activities. An assessment plan articulates a program’s process for conducting program assessment activities, and for collecting, analyzing, and using program assessment data. (See page 20.)
2. Introduction

Program Assessment Cycle
Program-level student learning outcomes (SLO) assessment is a process of faculty identifying what students should know and be able to do by the end of an academic program, measuring progress toward meeting these learning outcomes, and using that information to inform decision-making about teaching, learning, and curricula. Good assessment follows an intentional and reflective process of design, implementation, evaluation, and revision. The assessment cycle (see graphic below) begins with program-level SLOs and questions about student learning in the curriculum. After reviewing the program’s curriculum map indicating where particular program SLOs are highlighted in the curriculum, faculty identify direct and indirect measures to gather evidence related to student learning for their majors. The evidence is analyzed, discussed by the faculty, and used to inform program decisions/actions to support student learning, including those about instruction, assignments, the curriculum, and dialog about teaching and learning.

Degree Program Assessment at WSU
At WSU, departments/schools and faculty have the responsibility to develop, implement, and use meaningful SLO assessment in degree programs, to meet the evolving needs of students and disciplines. In an effective assessment system, faculty regularly complete the assessment cycle by using assessment results to inform and influence program decisions; they weave assessment throughout their programs so that it complements and enhances the work that faculty are already doing and supports collective efforts to improve teaching and learning.

The Office of Assessment for Curricular Effectiveness (ACE), formerly the Office of Assessment of Teaching and Learning (ATL), supports the development of effective assessment systems in which faculty collaboratively develop, maintain, and improve a curriculum that promotes student learning.

Annual Reporting and WSU Accreditation
WSU’s undergraduate degree programs\(^1\) report annually on their system of assessing student learning, a practice begun in 2009. ACE collects the reports, analyzes the data, and prepares summaries for the colleges and institution (see Appendix A). One goal of annual assessment reporting is to document regular assessment activities and uses of assessment by undergraduate academic programs, to help meet the university’s regional accreditation standards.

WSU is accredited by the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU). (See Appendix E for a list of the revised NWCCU 2020 Standards and 2018 Recommendations relevant to undergraduate program assessment.)

---

\(^1\) 64 undergraduate degrees reported on program assessment in 2021, including over 90 majors, 80 minors, and 100 in-major specializations, and are listed in Appendix B.
3. Key Elements of Program-level Assessment

Key Elements of Program Assessment, identified by ACE (formerly ATL) in 2011 and developed by programs to fit their unique context and needs, include program-level SLOs, curriculum maps, assessment measures (direct and indirect), assessment plans, and use of assessment to inform decision-making. The Key Elements of Program Assessment form the framework for useful, sustainable assessment at WSU. See Appendix F for a definition of each key element.

Substantially all programs reported having program-level SLOs (100%), curriculum maps (94%), and assessment plans (92%) in place in 2021; however, only 81% of programs collected a direct measure, only 88% collected an indirect measure, and only 83% of programs used assessment to inform decision-making in 2021 (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Elements in Place</th>
<th>2019 (66 Degrees)</th>
<th>2020 (65 Degrees)</th>
<th>2021 (64 Degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Degrees</td>
<td>% of Degrees</td>
<td># of Degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Learning Outcomes</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum Map</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Measure</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Measure</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment Plan</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Assessment*</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use of Assessment includes use of any program-level assessment; Section 7A of this report looks at uses of assessment aligned with specific student learning outcomes for decisions about curriculum/instruction and faculty/TA development.

AREA FOR ATTENTION. The collection of direct and indirect measures of student learning and use of assessment to inform decision-making are areas for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that Key Elements of Program Assessment are in place. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE is available to work with programs to develop or improve the usefulness of their Key Assessment Elements. ACE offers a variety of consultations and resources for program faculty.

WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Key Elements of Program Assessment. To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: learning outcomes are identified and published for degree programs (1.C.3); degrees and programs are based upon student learning and learning outcomes that offer an appropriate breadth, depth, sequencing, and synthesis of learning and culminate in achievement of identified student learning outcomes in recognized fields of study (1.C.1 and 1.C.2); an effective system of assessment evaluates the quality of learning in degree programs (1.C.5); results of assessment of student learning are used to inform academic and learning-support planning and practices to continuously improve academic programs (1.C.7); faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs (1.C.5).
4. Program-level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) and Curriculum Maps

Program-level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs). Program-level SLOs identify core skills and knowledge that students are expected to demonstrate upon successful completion of a curriculum or program of study. All programs reported having program-level SLOs in 2021 (100%, see page 7); however, only 81% of programs reported that their program-level SLOs were up to date over the past two years (Figure 1).

Curriculum Maps. A curriculum map is a matrix aligning program-level SLOs with the courses for a degree program or major. Curriculum maps help faculty understand how courses situate in the curriculum, and the contributions that each course makes toward advancing program-level SLOs for the degree. Substantially all programs reported having a curriculum map in 2021 (94%, see page 7); however, only 63% of programs reported that their curriculum map was up to date over the past two years (Figure 1).

AREA FOR ATTENTION. The status of program-level SLOs and curriculum maps are areas for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that program-levels SLOs and curriculum maps are up to date within a given two year period. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE offers consultations and resources for program faculty updating program-level SLOs and curriculum maps. Note: An important aspect of curriculum mapping is the faculty discussion which occurs in the process of creating or reviewing the map—a forum to consider strengths and weaknesses of the curriculum, inviting dialog and the chance to deepen connections among courses, assignments, learning activities, and departmental approaches to teaching and learning.

WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Program-level Student Learning Outcomes and Curriculum Maps. To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: learning outcomes are identified and published for degree programs (1.C.3); degrees and programs are based upon student learning and learning outcomes that offer an appropriate breadth, depth, sequencing, and synthesis of learning and culminate in achievement of identified student learning outcomes in recognized fields of study (1.C.1 and 1.C.2); faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs (1.C.5).
5. Measures of Student Learning

Assessment measures are tools used to gather student learning information (data) to support decision-making about teaching, learning, and curricula. Assessment measures typically fall into two categories:

**Direct measures** are assessments (by faculty or other professionals) of student work products or performances that provide demonstrated evidence of program-level SLOs (i.e., skills and knowledge).

**Indirect measures** include perspectives, input, and other indicators (from students or others) that provide evidence related to student learning or the curriculum (e.g., perceived gains or confidence in specific skills or knowledge, motivation, satisfaction, the availability or quality of learning opportunities, progress, etc.).

Direct measures reveal what students have learned and to what extent, while indirect measures can provide information as to why students learned or did not learn. Indirect measures can also guide faculty members in thinking about how to interpret results and make improvements.

Substantially all programs reported collecting at least one assessment measure in 2021 (95%); however, only 81% of programs reported collecting a direct measure and only 88% of programs reported collecting an indirect measure (Figure 2). Direct and indirect measures come in many forms and may vary to best meet the needs of the program. See Appendix G for types of direct and indirect measures collected in the past year.

**Figure 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Measures Collected in Past Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Degree Program Assessment Reports, 2019-2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Measure(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Measure(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Assessment Measure(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AREA FOR ATTENTION.** The collection of direct and indirect measures of student learning are areas for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that direct and indirect measures of student learning are collected each year. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE is available to consult with programs to develop measures and/or increase the quality and utility of measures, and to scale up pilots in sustainable ways.

**WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Measures of Student Learning.** To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: an effective system of assessment evaluates the quality of learning in degree programs (1.C.5); faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs (1.C.5).
5.A. Measures of Student Learning Near End of Curriculum

Assessment measures collected near the end of the curriculum provide information about student performance on program-level SLOs and experiences as students are completing the curriculum.

Substantially all programs reported collecting at least one assessment measure near the end of the curriculum over the past two years (98%), with 95% of programs collecting an indirect measure near the end of the curriculum over the past two years; however, only 84% of programs reported collecting a direct measure of student performance near the end of the curriculum over the past two years (Figure 3).

Each program collects measures that best fit its unique context, with a variety of direct measures collected near the end of the curriculum over the past two years (Figure 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Measures Collected Near End of Curriculum Over Past Two Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Measure(s) Near End of Curriculum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indirect Measure(s) Near End of Curriculum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any Assessment Measure(s) Near End of Curriculum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Direct Measures Collected Near End of Curriculum Over Past Two Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Course-embedded assignments (e.g. paper, presentation, poster, portfolio, or exhibition) evaluated using a rubric</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall/holistic evaluation of students’ skills and knowledge by faculty or other professionals</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internship supervisor, preceptor, or employer evaluation of students’ skills and knowledge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Course-embedded exam scores or evaluation of open-ended exam responses using a rubric</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National exam scores (e.g. certification or other standardized test)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other direct measure near end of curriculum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANY direct measure near end of curriculum collected over past two years</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Will not sum to 54 because some programs collected more than one measure*
**AREA FOR ATTENTION.** The collection of direct measures near the end of the curriculum is an area for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that direct and indirect measures near the end of the curriculum are collected within in a given two year period. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE is available to consult with programs to develop measures and/or increase the quality and utility of measures, and to scale up pilots in sustainable ways.

**WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Measures of Student Learning Near End of Curriculum.** To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: an effective system of assessment evaluates the quality of learning in degree programs (1.C.5); faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs (1.C.5); a continuous process is in place to assess institutional effectiveness, including student learning and achievement (1.B.1); indicators of student achievement are transparent and are used to inform and implement strategies and allocate resources to mitigate perceived gaps in achievement and equity (1.D.4).
6. Achievement of Program-level Student Learning Outcomes Near End of Curriculum

An effective system of program assessment includes direct measures collected near the end of the curriculum that provide information about student achievement of program-level SLOs. First implemented in 2019, programs were again asked to report on the extent to which students were meeting faculty-determined expectations for the degree’s program-level SLOs near the end of the curriculum. SLO achievement summary information provides a useful overview of student learning achievement for WSU—helping programs demonstrate academic strengths, as well as set priorities for improvement—and also supports WSU’s strategic planning and mission fulfillment for university accreditation. Note: While all program-level SLOs do not need to be measured annually, achievement of program-level SLOs near the end of the curriculum should be measured and reviewed within a reasonable cycle.

Only 61% of programs reported reviewing assessment results that indicated student achievement of program-level SLOs near the end of the curriculum over the past two years (Figure 5). Collectively, these programs evaluated 294 program-level SLOs over the past two years; of these, 284 program-level SLOs were met or exceeded by students (Figure 5).

Area for Attention. Reviewing assessment results that indicate student achievement of program-level SLOs near the end of the curriculum is an area for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that they have reviewed assessment results that indicate student achievement of program-level SLOs near the end of the curriculum within a given two year period. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE can assist programs with improving measures or facilitating faculty discussion of minimum thresholds of competency for majors and determining group targets for the program.

WSU Accreditation: NWCCU 2018 Recommendation and Standards Related to SLO Achievement Near End of Curriculum. In 2018, the NWCCU recommended that WSU incorporate student learning outcomes assessment findings into the evaluation of university mission fulfillment (Recommendation and Standard 1.B.1).
7. Using Any Program-level Assessment to Inform Decision-making

Program assessment, including direct and indirect measures, should regularly inform faculty reflection and discussion, and contribute to decision-making to support effective teaching, learning, and curricula (such as decisions about courses, sequencing, instructional methods, assignments, advising, assessment processes, and policies). Decisions can include choosing to make changes to a program, continue current effective practices, or build on strengths.

While only 83% of programs reported making decisions informed by program assessment in 2021, these programs reported that assessment informed decisions about courses and curriculum, instruction, prerequisites, assessment processes, advising, policy, and other changes (Figure 6).

**Figure 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Decisions/Actions in Past Year Informed by Any Program-level Assessment</th>
<th>Undergraduate Degree Program Assessment Reports, 2021 (64 Degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Course content revision</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revising or developing assignments</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revising or developing an assessment measure</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New course development</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision to instructional methods</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course prerequisite, sequence, or enrollment change</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty/TA professional development</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revising or developing program SLOs</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue current effective assessment</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree requirement change</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue current effective curriculum</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updating or developing benchmarks/targets</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes to policies/procedures</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in advising</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other actions/changes influenced by assessment</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANY decision(s) or influence(s) in the past year</td>
<td>53 (83%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Will not sum to 53 because some programs reported multiple types of decisions or influences

**AREA FOR ATTENTION.** The use of assessment to inform decision-making is an area for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that they use any assessment (direct or indirect) to inform any decision-making each year (includes decisions about curriculum and instruction, as well as advising, scheduling, assessment processes, etc.). However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE offers a variety of consultations and resources for program faculty and is available to facilitate faculty discussion to support programs in using assessment to inform program decision-making.

**WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Using Assessment to Inform Decision-making.** To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: results of assessment of student learning are used to inform academic and learning-support planning and practices to continuously improve academic programs (1.C.7); faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs (1.C.5); indicators of student achievement are used to inform and implement strategies and allocate resources to mitigate perceived gaps in achievement and equity (1.D.4).
7.A. Using Assessment Results Aligned with Program Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs)

Using assessment data aligned with specific program-level SLOs to inform decision-making is crucial to supporting quality undergraduate curricula and student achievement of program SLOs, with decisions about curriculum, instruction, and faculty development, specifically, contributing most directly to improving student learning.

Substantially all programs reported completing at least one cycle of program-level SLO assessment by using the results to inform decision-making (94%) over the past three years (Figure 7). However, only 83% of programs reported using assessment aligned with specific program-level SLOs to inform decisions about curriculum, instruction, or faculty development over the past three years (Figure 7). Note: It is not expected that programs complete an assessment cycle every year, or that programs complete an entire assessment cycle for a particular program-level SLO in one academic year (i.e., a decision/action in one year may be informed by an assessment measure collected in previous years).

Figure 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure(s) collected to assess student learning on specific program SLO(s)</th>
<th>Analyzed SLO assessment data and what was learned</th>
<th>Any decision(s)/action(s) informed by SLO assessment data</th>
<th>Curriculum, instruction, and/or faculty/TA development related decision(s)/action(s) informed by SLO data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AREA FOR ATTENTION. Using assessment aligned with program-level SLOs to inform program decisions about curriculum, instruction, or faculty development is an area for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that they use assessment data aligned with specific program-level SLOs to inform program decisions, including decisions about curriculum, instruction, or faculty development, within a given three-year period. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE offers a variety of consultations and resources for program faculty and is available to facilitate faculty discussion to support programs in using assessment to inform program decision-making.

WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Using Assessment Results Aligned with Specific Program-level SLOs. To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: results of assessment of student learning are used to inform academic and learning-support planning and practices to continuously improve academic programs (1.C.7); faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs (1.C.5); indicators of student achievement are used to inform and implement strategies and allocate resources to mitigate perceived gaps in achievement and equity (1.D.4).
8. Faculty Engagement in Assessment

Faculty who engage in program assessment activities conduct significant work toward continuous improvement of curriculum, instruction, and assessment processes. Substantially all programs reported that two or more faculty engaged in assessment activities in 2021 (94%); with a variety of activities reported (Figure 8).

Faculty play critical roles in interpreting and discussing assessment to assist in making data-informed decisions about curriculum, instruction, professional development, and assessment processes. Substantially all programs reported that assessment was discussed by the majority of faculty who teach over the past two years (95%, data not shown); however, only 86% of programs reported that assessment was discussed by program leadership in 2021 and only 89% reported that assessment was discussed by a faculty committee or the majority of faculty who teach (Figure 9).

Note: Include activities that two or more faculty engaged in; Will not sum to 60 because some programs reported engagement in multiple activities.

---

2 In many programs, clinical faculty, instructors, and graduate teaching assistants contribute to activities.
**AREA FOR ATTENTION.** Regular discussions of assessment by program leadership and a faculty committee or the majority of faculty who teach are areas for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that assessment is discussed each year by program leadership and a faculty committee or the majority of faculty who teach. Additionally, WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that the majority of faculty who teach discuss assessment within a given two-year period. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE offers a variety of consultations and resources for program faculty and is available to facilitate faculty discussions to support program assessment. Assessment activities offer ways for faculty to think about student learning in the curriculum, and how to advance program-level SLOs, to increase shared faculty understanding of the curriculum, teaching, and learning. *Note: Faculty can be recognized in annual review for assessment work, under WSU’s Faculty Manual and the EPPM.*

**WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Faculty Engagement in Assessment.** To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs *(1.C.5).*
9. Assessment in Degrees Offered Online

Assuring educational quality in degrees offered online remains a national concern. In 2021, 17 undergraduate degrees were offered online through Global Campus, 15 of which met the threshold for reporting on program assessment for the online degree.3

All of these programs reported collecting at least one assessment measure near the end of the curriculum for the online degree over the past two years (100%), with substantially all collecting an indirect measure (93%); however, only 60% of programs reported collecting a direct measure near the end of the curriculum for the online degree over the past two years (Figure 10).

**Figure 10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures Collected Near End of Curriculum</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Measure(s) Near End of Curriculum</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Measure(s) Near End of Curriculum</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Assessment Measure(s) Near End of Curriculum</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** In 2021, 17 undergraduate degrees were offered online through Global Campus, 15 of which met the threshold for reporting on program assessment for the online degree.

**Area for Attention.** The collection of direct measures near the end of the curriculum in degrees offered online is an area for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that direct and indirect measures near the end of the curriculum are collected for online degree offerings within in a given two year period. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

In degrees offered online, as well as programs considering extending to online, it is critical for university, campus, college, and department leadership to ensure that online students, courses, and faculty who teach are included in assessment, and that programs collect measures near the end of the curriculum with sufficient sample size and representation. In addition,

- Measures collected may need attention to refine instruments and processes to fit the online environment.
- Chairs and directors should review assessment-related capacity and infrastructure to ensure that assessment is prioritized and sufficiently resourced in online offerings.

**WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Assessment in Degrees Offered Online.** To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: an effective system of assessment evaluates the quality of learning in degree programs (1.C.5); indicators of student achievement are transparent and used to inform and implement strategies and allocate resources to mitigate perceived gaps in achievement and equity (1.D.4).

---

3 Below Threshold: The BS in Biology and BS in Earth & Environmental Science did not meet the reporting threshold of six or more seniors admitted to the major on Global Campus.
10. Multi-Campus Assessment

In multi-campus degrees, assessment must be prioritized and resourced to include students, courses, and faculty from all campuses and locations offering the degree. In 2021, 28 undergraduate degrees were offered on more than one campus and reported on program assessment:

- Anthropology, BA (P,V,G)
- Biology, BS (P,TC,V)
- Business Administration, BA (P,TC,V,G)
- Civil Engineering, BS (P,TC)
- Computer Science, BS (P,TC,V)
- Criminal Justice and Criminology, BA (P,G)
- Data Analytics, BS (P,E,G)
- Digital Technology and Culture, BA (P,TC,V)
- Earth and Environmental Science, BS (P,TC,V)
- Economic Sciences, BS (P,G)
- Education, BA (P,TC,V)
- Electrical Engineering, BS (P,TC,V,E)
- English, BA (P,TC,V,G)
- History, BA (P,TC,V,G)
- Hospitality Business Management, BA (P,TC,V,E,G)
- Human Development, BA (P,TC,V,G)
- Humanities, BA (P,V,G)
- Mathematics, BS (P,V)
- Mechanical Engineering, BS (P,TC,V,E)
- Neuroscience, BS (P,V)
- Nursing, BS (S,TC,V)
- Political Science, BA (P,G)
- Psychology, BS (P,TC,V,G)
- Science, Bachelor of (P,TC)
- Social Sciences, BA (P,V,G)
- Sociology, BA (P,V,G)
- Software Engineering, BS (P,E)
- Strategic Communication, BA (P,V,E,G)

All of these programs reported collecting at least one assessment measure near the end of the curriculum on each campus where offered over the past two years (100%), with substantially all collecting an indirect measure on each campus (93%); however, only 79% of programs reported collecting a direct measure near the end of the curriculum on each campus over the past two years (Figure 11).

---

4 For the purposes of this summary, multi-campus degrees are those with at least six seniors admitted to the major on two or more of the following campuses: Pullman, Spokane, Tri-Cities, Vancouver, Everett, and Global.

5 For multi-campus degrees, typically the home campus prepares and submits a single annual undergraduate program assessment report for that degree. However, some degrees submit more than one report, as appropriate for the degree program’s structure (see Appendix B). In 2021, nine programs had fewer than six seniors admitted to the major on one or more campuses and fell below the reporting threshold for those campuses (see Appendix A).
**AREA FOR ATTENTION.** The collection of direct measures near the end of the curriculum in multi-campus degrees is an area for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that direct and indirect measures near the end of the curriculum are collected on each campus where the degree is offered within a given two year period. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

In degrees offered at more than one campus/location, as well as programs considering extending to additional campuses/locations, it is critical for university, campus, college, and department leadership to ensure that assessment includes students, courses, and faculty from all campuses/locations offering the degree. In addition,

- Assessments may need adjustment to better fit a particular campus context.
- Chairs, directors, college, and campus leadership may need to review assessment capacity, communication pathways, and related infrastructure.
- Roles and responsibilities for assessment activities should be clear to faculty on every campus, and campus participation in assessment should not rest solely on one individual. Chair oversight is needed to explicitly convey the need for participation and coordination.

**WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Multi-Campus Assessment Practices.** To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: an effective system of assessment evaluates the quality of learning in degree programs (1.C.5); indicators of student achievement are transparent and used to inform and implement strategies and allocate resources to mitigate perceived gaps in achievement and equity (1.D.4); faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs (1.C.5).
11. Assessment Plans

An assessment plan articulates a program’s process for conducting program assessment activities, and for collecting, analyzing, and using program assessment data. Assessment plans also help communicate the various roles and responsibilities of program leadership and faculty in the assessment process and support continuity when there are transitions in personnel or roles.

Substantially all programs reported that they had an assessment plan (92%) in 2021; however, only 78% of programs reported that their assessment plan included a specific timeline for assessment activities (Figure 12).

**Area for Attention.** Having an assessment plan with a specific timeline for program assessment activities is an area for attention for WSU undergraduate degree program assessment. WSU aims to have substantially all programs (≥90%) reporting that they have an assessment plan with a timeline for key program assessment activities each year. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. WSU expects programs to work towards resuming or refreshing these program assessment practices.

ACE is available to work with programs to develop their assessment plans.

**WSU Accreditation: NWCCU Standards Related to Assessment Plans.** To maintain institutional accreditation, WSU must demonstrate that: an effective system of assessment evaluates the quality of learning in degree programs (1.C.5); results of assessment of student learning are used to inform academic and learning-support planning and practices to continuously improve academic programs (1.C.7).
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Appendix A: Purpose and Scope of Annual Program Assessment Reporting

**Annual Program Reports.** Each undergraduate degree program reports annually on assessment using a common template developed at WSU. The Office of Assessment for Curricular Effectiveness (ACE), formerly the Office of Assessment of Teaching and Learning (ATL), collects the reports and analyzes the data to generate summaries for the colleges and the institution. See ACE’s website for more information and the report template.

**Annual Program Report Summaries.** ACE compiles information from annual assessment reports from WSU’s undergraduate programs into summaries for the colleges and institution in order to:

1. Provide a snapshot of undergraduate program-level assessment at WSU. (Reports are designed to collect key information showing the status of program-level assessment, without over-burdening faculty.)
2. Support systematic assessment across the university in ways that are useful to widely different programs.
3. Provide data for discussion and decision-making.
4. Document assessment that supports institutional accreditation through the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) by requiring all degree-granting undergraduate programs to regularly update the key elements of their program assessment.
5. Align annual assessment reporting with NWCCU standards and the cycle for regional accreditation.

*Note: These summaries, like the program reports themselves, are meant to show key aspects of program-level assessment of student learning to meet the purposes above; they are not intended to be exhaustive or show all assessment undertaken by programs.*

**Thresholds for Annual Reporting by Undergraduate Degree Programs.** Thresholds for annual program assessment reporting, first implemented in 2019, again modified the reporting process for small undergraduate programs:

1. **Threshold for Reporting about Assessment for a Degree Program Overall.** Undergraduate degree programs with fewer than six seniors admitted to the major for the degree (using spring 2021 census records) fell below the threshold for submitting the regular annual program assessment report to ACE. For 2021 reporting, the BA in Comparative Ethnic Studies, BA in Human Biology, BA in Music, and BA in Women’s, Gender, and Sexuality Studies fell below the threshold.

2. **Threshold for Reporting about Assessment on a Particular Campus for Multi-campus Programs.** Multi-campus undergraduate degree programs with fewer than six seniors admitted to the major on a particular campus (using spring 2021 census records) were not required to report on assessment for that particular campus. For 2021, the BS in Agricultural and Food Systems (Everett), BS in Biology (Global), BA in Business Administration (Everett), BA in Computer Science (Tri-Cities), BS in Data Analytics (Vancouver), BS in Earth & Environmental Science (Global), Bachelor of Fine Arts (Tri-Cities), BA in Humanities (Tri-Cities), and BA in Social Sciences (Tri-Cities) fell below the threshold and were not required to report on assessment for that particular campus.

These thresholds are intended to recognize that—while faculty are expected to assess student learning and use results to improve their degree program and programs should include students and faculty from each campus where the degree is offered in their assessment activities to the extent possible—small numbers of senior majors may impact a program’s ability to meet all expectations for program assessment each year, or, in a given year, may warrant a deeper focus in one area than another. Thus, for these small programs, the annual ebb and flow of their assessment activities is not tallied in the college or university summaries of annual program reports prepared by ACE. Programs are expected to fully report on their assessment when their number of senior majors surpasses the threshold.
Appendix B: WSU Undergraduate Degrees Reporting in 2021

The 69 Undergraduate Degree Program Assessment Reports submitted in 2021 represent 64 undergraduate degrees and more than 90 majors, 80 minors, and 100 in-major specializations. The table below lists the 64 undergraduate degrees reporting in 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College</th>
<th>Undergraduate Degrees Reporting in 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Agricultural, Human, and Natural Resource Sciences (9 degrees) | Agricultural and Food Systems, BS  
Animal Sciences, BS  
Apparel, Merchandising, Design and Textiles, BA  
Earth and Environmental Science, BS²  
Economic Sciences, BS  
Food Science, BS  
Human Development, BA  
Integrated Plant Sciences, BS  
Viticulture and Enology, BS |
| Arts and Sciences (26 degrees)                | Anthropology, BA  
Biology, BS  
Chemistry, BA³ & BS³,⁴  
Criminal Justice and Criminology, BA  
Data Analytics, BS⁵  
Digital Technology and Culture, BA³  
Earth and Environmental Science, BS²  
English, BA  
Fine Arts, BA³ & BFA³  
Foreign Languages and Cultures, BA  
History, BA  
Humanities, BA  
Mathematics, BS  
Music, BMus⁴  
Philosophy, BA  
Physics, BS  
Political Science, BA  
Psychology, BS  
Public Affairs, BA  
Science, Bachelor of  
Social Sciences, BA  
Social Studies, BA  
Sociology, BA  
Zoology, BS |
| Business (2 degrees)                         | Business Administration, BA⁴  
Hospitality Business Management, BA⁴ |
| Communication (2 degrees)                    | Journalism and Media Production, BA  
Strategic Communication, BA |
| Education (4 degrees)                        | Education, BA⁴  
Kinesiology, BS  
Sport Management, BA  
Sport Medicine, BS⁶ |
| Engineering and Architecture (16 degrees)    | Architectural Studies, BS  
Bioengineering, BS⁴  
Chemical Engineering, BS⁴  
Civil Engineering, BS³,⁴  
Computer Engineering, BS⁴  
Computer Science, BA³,⁴ & BS³,⁴  
Construction Engineering, BS  
Construction Management, BS⁴  
Data Analytics, BS⁵  
Electrical Engineering, BS³,⁴  
Interior Design, BA⁴  
Landscape Architecture, BLA⁶  
Materials Science and Engineering, BS⁴  
Mechanical Engineering, BS³,⁴  
Software Engineering, BS |
| Medicine (2 degrees)                         | Nutrition and Exercise Physiology, BS⁴  
Speech and Hearing Sciences, BA |
| Nursing (1 degree)                           | Nursing, BS⁵ |
| Veterinary Medicine (4 degrees)              | Biochemistry, BS  
Genetics and Cell Biology, BS  
Microbiology, BS  
Neuroscience, BS |

¹ Undergraduate degree programs with fewer than six seniors admitted to the major for the degree overall (using spring census records) fall below the threshold for submitting the regular annual program assessment report. For 2021 reporting, the BA in Comparative Ethnic Studies, BA in Human Biology, BA in Music, and BA in Women’s, Gender, and Sexuality Studies fell below the threshold.

² The School of the Environment is a cross-college academic unit located within both CAHNRS and CAS.

³ As appropriate for the degree program’s structure, some reports represent more than one degree, and some degrees submit more than one report. Three reports included two degrees, two options reported separately, and four engineering degrees reported separately at Tri-Cities and Vancouver.

⁴ 19 undergraduate degrees are professionally accredited. For this summary, “professionally-accredited” refers to programs or colleges that are accredited by an agency or association, in addition to the NWCCU accreditation of WSU, and does not include other accredited options (e.g., education option in a particular program).

⁵ Data Analytics is a cross-college academic unit located within both CAS and VCEA.

⁶ The BS in Sports Medicine replaced the BS in Athletic Training (as part of the comprehensive 5-year Master’s in Athletic Training program).
Appendix C: Guidance for Undergraduate Program Assessment in Response to COVID-19

Recognizing that the COVID-19 pandemic context and impacts remained fluid and unpredictable, and that faculty, staff and students continued to manage concerns at work and home, ACE published WSU Expectations and Guidance for AY 2021-22 Undergraduate Program Assessment, which continued similar guidance from AY 2020-21, to support programs in prioritizing program assessment work in 2021. ACE developed this guidance, provided below, in consultation with WSU’s Liaison Council for Undergraduate Assessment and the Provost’s Office.

WSU Expectations and Guidance for AY 2021-22 Undergraduate Program Assessment. For AY 2021-22, undergraduate degree programs are expected to:

1. Submit their 2021 program assessment report
   - Undergraduate programs will use Qualtrics to submit their 2021 annual report about program assessment conducted during the 2021 calendar year (Jan 1 – Dec 31), during the open reporting window – anytime from mid-Dec 2021 through Feb 2022.
   - Programs should contact ACE if they’d like help preparing their annual report.
   - Note: Annual reporting is a university requirement.

2. Conduct some program-level assessment related activities
   - Undergraduate programs should carry out some program assessment work this academic year, where possible, after taking into account program context and pressing questions.
     - Prioritize assessment that fits the program’s context and capacity, and that will advance meaningful program assessment in the future. Continue to collect assessments that are in place and feasible.
   - Consider new or existing assessment practices that worked well in AY 2020-21.
   - Work towards resuming or refreshing assessment that was impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic last year.
     - For example, a program could choose among options like these:
       - Assess senior major achievement of a program-level student learning outcome: collect assessments that are in place and feasible, or take steps to interpret existing senior achievement data or to advance a senior direct assessment measure in another way.
       - Make use of existing assessment data (e.g., examine data already collected through a different lens or review complementary data sets in tandem)
       - Finish a project in progress (e.g., revising student learning outcomes for the program) or advance next steps (e.g., expanding an existing measure to include another campus or course)
   - Contact ACE with questions or for support in assessment planning or activities.
   - Note: Program assessment is a university expectation to support quality academic programs.

Additional Guidance
- To conserve and allocate faculty time this academic year, it’s important that the undergraduate program’s leadership group (chair/director, faculty assessment coordinator, undergraduate studies director or similar role) agree on priorities and feasibility.
- Where possible, choose something useful for undergraduate curriculum and program assessment.
Appendix D: University-wide Metrics and Targets for Program Assessment

WSU aims to have **substantially all programs (≥ 90%)** reporting that program assessment elements are in place and that program-level student learning outcomes data, including the achievement of program-level student learning outcomes near the end of the curriculum, are regularly collected and used to inform decision-making about teaching, learning, and curricula. However, WSU recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges that impacted, and in some cases limited, the assessment that programs were able to accomplish in 2020 and 2021. ACE published guidance to support programs in prioritizing program assessment work in 2021 (see Appendix C).

In line with **WSU’s 2020-2025 System Strategic Plan “Goal 2: Student Experience”** objective of enhancing the quality of the undergraduate academic experience, WSU’s overarching goal is for program assessment to be meaningful and useful to faculty and programs. University-wide targets for program assessment are intended to monitor the status of program assessment systems at WSU, while recognizing that making meaningful adjustments to program assessment elements, processes, and tools takes time. In any given year, a number of programs may experience a change in their context, prompting faculty to revisit program assessment elements, processes, and/or tools. For example, faculty might work to refresh a measure to increase the quality of their data or to align with changes to student learning outcomes, curriculum, or instruction. WSU’s approach encourages faculty to develop program assessment that is sustainable, with room to respond to the evolving needs of teaching, learning, and curriculum.

### University-wide Metrics and Targets for Program Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University-wide Metrics and Targets for Program Assessment</th>
<th>2019 (66 Degrees)</th>
<th>2020 (65 Degrees)</th>
<th>2021 (64 Degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program-level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs have program-level student learning outcomes (SLOs) in place each year</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs have program-level SLOs that are up to date within a given two year period</td>
<td>(Data not available)</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Curriculum Maps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs have a curriculum map in place each year</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs have a map that is up to date within a given two year period</td>
<td>(Data not available)</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment Plans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs have an assessment plan in place (with or without specific timeline for activities) each year</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs have an assessment plan with a timeline for specific assessment activities each year</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment Measures (collected at any point in curriculum)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect assessment measures (direct or indirect) each year</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect direct measures each year</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect indirect measures each year</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment Measures Near End of Curriculum</strong>&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect measures (direct or indirect) near the end of the curriculum within a given two year period</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect direct measures near the end of the curriculum within a given two year period</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect indirect measures near the end of the curriculum within a given two year period</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:** Goal Met: ≥ 90%; Goal Nearly Met: 80-89%; Goal Unmet: < 80%

<sup>1</sup> Expectation to include majors who are nearing the end of the curriculum/program, as seniors or as juniors, as best fits the program context

<sup>2</sup> Includes undergraduate degrees meeting the reporting threshold of six or more seniors admitted to the major on Global Campus (using spring census records).

<sup>3</sup> For the purposes of this summary, multi-campus degrees are those with at least six seniors admitted to the major (using spring 2021 census records) on two or more of the following campuses: Pullman, Spokane, Tri-Cities, Vancouver, Everett, and Global.
### University-wide Metrics and Targets for Program Assessment, CONTINUED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University-wide Metrics and Targets for Program Assessment</th>
<th>2019 (66 Degrees)</th>
<th>2020 (65 Degrees)</th>
<th>2021 (64 Degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Degrees Offered Online: Assessment Measures Near End of Curriculum(^1,2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect measures (direct or indirect) near the end of the curriculum for online degree <em>within a given two year period</em></td>
<td>88% (7/8)</td>
<td>100% (12/12)</td>
<td>100% (15/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect direct measures near the end of the curriculum for online degree <em>within a given two year period</em></td>
<td>88% (7/8)</td>
<td>67% (8/12)</td>
<td>60% (9/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs collect indirect measures near the end of the curriculum for online degree <em>within a given two year period</em></td>
<td>88% (7/8)</td>
<td>100% (12/12)</td>
<td>93% (14/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multi-Campus Degrees: Assessment Measures Near End of Curriculum(^1,3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) multi-campus degree programs collect measures (direct or indirect) near the end of the curriculum on each campus where degree is offered <em>within a given two year period</em></td>
<td>93% (25/27)</td>
<td>100% (27/27)</td>
<td>100% (28/28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) multi-campus degree programs collect direct measures near the end of the curriculum on each campus where degree is offered <em>within a given two year period</em></td>
<td>81% (22/27)</td>
<td>81% (22/27)</td>
<td>79% (22/28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) multi-campus degree programs collect indirect measures near the end of the curriculum on each campus where degree is offered <em>within a given two year period</em></td>
<td>89% (24/27)</td>
<td>100% (27/27)</td>
<td>93% (26/28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achievement of Program SLOs Near End of Curriculum(^1)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs review/discuss representative direct assessment data that indicate SLO achievement by majors near the end of the curriculum <em>within a given two year period</em></td>
<td>(Data not available)</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of Program-level Assessment to Inform Decision-making</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs use any program assessment (direct or indirect) to inform any decision-making each year (includes decisions about curriculum and instruction, as well as advising, scheduling, assessment, etc.)</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs use SLO-aligned program assessment (direct or indirect) to inform any decision-making <em>within a given three year period</em> (includes decisions about curriculum and instruction, as well as advising, scheduling, assessment, etc.)</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs use SLO-aligned program assessment (direct or indirect) to inform decision-making about curriculum, instruction, assignments &amp; faculty development <em>within a given three year period</em></td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faculty Engagement in Assessment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs discuss assessment with program leadership each year</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs discuss assessment with the majority of faculty who teach OR a faculty committee each year</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs discuss assessment with the majority of faculty who teach <em>within a given two year period</em></td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially all (≥ 90%) degree programs engage two or more faculty in program assessment activities each year</td>
<td>(Data not available)</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:** Goal Met: ≥ 90%; Goal Nearly Met: 80-89%; Goal Unmet: < 80%

\(^1\) Expectation to include majors who are nearing the end of the curriculum/program, as seniors or as juniors, as best fits the program context.

\(^2\) Includes undergraduate degrees meeting the reporting threshold of six or more seniors admitted to the major on Global Campus (using spring census records).

\(^3\) For the purposes of this summary, multi-campus degrees are those with at least six seniors admitted to the major (using spring 2021 census records) on two or more of the following campuses: Pullman, Spokane, Tri-Cities, Vancouver, Everett, and Global.
Appendix E: NWCCU Standards and Recommendations (Selected)

**NWCCU 2020 Standards Regarding Program-level Assessment**

The NWCCU 2020 revised standards for WSU’s continuing accreditation through the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) took effect on January 1, 2020, and include the following:

- **Learning Outcomes.** Learning outcomes are identified and published for degree programs. Expected student learning outcomes for all courses are provided to enrolled students. *(1.C.3)*

- **Curriculum.** Degrees and programs are based upon student learning and learning outcomes that offer an appropriate breadth, depth, sequencing, and synthesis of learning. Programs have appropriate content and rigor and culminate in achievement of identified student learning outcomes in recognized fields of study. *(1.C.1 and 1.C.2)*

- **Effective and Systematic Assessment is in Place.**
  - An effective system of assessment evaluates the quality of learning in degree programs. *(1.C.5)*
  - Undergraduate learning outcomes and/or core competencies *(at WSU, the Seven Learning Goals of Undergraduate Education)* are assessed across all bachelor’s degree programs or within the general education curriculum. *(1.C.6)*
  - A continuous process is in place to assess institutional effectiveness, including student learning and achievement. *(1.B.1)*

- **Assessment Results Support Student Learning and Student Achievement.**
  - Results of assessment of student learning are used to inform academic and learning-support planning and practices to continuously improve academic programs. *(1.C.7)*
  - Indicators of student achievement are transparent and are used to inform and implement strategies and allocate resources to mitigate perceived gaps in achievement and equity. *(1.D.4)*
  - An ongoing and systematic evaluation and planning process is used to inform and refine effectiveness, assign resources, and improve student learning and achievement. *(1.B.1)*

- **Faculty Roles.** Faculty have a central role in establishing curricula, assessing student learning, and improving instructional programs. *(1.C.5)*

**Selected NWCCU Commendations and Recommendations: Spring 2018 Year Seven Evaluation**

In 2018, the NWCCU commended WSU for its assessment practices. New recommendations based on the NWCCU 2010 standards that impact undergraduate program assessment planning and priorities include the need to:

- Incorporate student learning outcomes assessment findings into the evaluation of university mission fulfillment (including summary information about student learning outcomes for degree programs)
- Include student learning outcomes data (rather than the process of assessing student learning outcomes) in strategic planning metrics
- Collect appropriately defined data, which can be disaggregated to identify differences among campuses and learning modalities
Appendix F: Glossary

The glossary below provides definitions for assessment terms, as used throughout this summary.

**Assessment Cycle:** The process of planning, collecting, and analyzing assessment measures and data for the purpose of sustaining and improving teaching, learning, and curricula.

**Assessment Plan:** A program’s process and timeline for conducting program assessment activities, and for collecting, analyzing, and using program assessment data.

**Assessment Results:** Analyzed or summarized assessment data (quantitative or qualitative) or other impacts of assessment activities; shared formally or informally.

**Curriculum Map:** A matrix aligning program-level student learning outcomes (SLOs) with the courses for a degree program or major.

**Direct Measure:** Assessments (by faculty or other professionals) of students work products or performances that provide demonstrated evidence of program-level SLOs (i.e., skills and knowledge).

**Indirect Measure:** Perspectives, input, and other indicators (from students or others) that provide evidence related to student learning or the curriculum (e.g., perceived gains or confidence in specific skills or knowledge, motivation, satisfaction, the availability or quality of learning opportunities, student progress, etc.).

**Key Assessment Elements:** At WSU, the principle elements of program assessment forming a framework for useful, sustainable assessment. Specifically, the program-level student learning outcomes for the degree or major, assessment plan, curriculum map, direct measures, indirect measures, and use of assessment.

**Program-level Student Learning Outcomes Assessment:** A process of faculty identifying what students should know and be able to do by the end of an academic program, measuring progress toward meeting these learning outcomes, and using that information to inform decision-making about teaching, learning, and curricula.

**Program-level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs):** Core skills and knowledge that students are expected to demonstrate upon successful completion of a program (e.g., core courses and electives for the major).

**Assessment Aligned with Program-level Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs):** Assessment measures aligned with specific program-level SLOs; may include direct measures (such as assessment of skills demonstrated in a senior project) and/or indirect measures (such as input from a senior focus group on their experience related to a specific program-level SLO).

**Use of Assessment:** Program assessment activities and results inform regular faculty reflection and discussion about effective teaching, learning, and curricula, and ultimately contribute to decision-making to support student learning. Decisions may include intentionally choosing to continue current effective practices, building on the program’s existing strengths, and/or making changes to the program. Importantly, use of assessment can occur at any point in the process of collecting, analyzing, or discussing direct and/or indirect measures of student learning.
### Appendix G: Types of Direct and Indirect Measures Collected in 2021

#### Types of Direct Assessment Measures Collected in Past Year
**Undergraduate Degree Program Assessment Reports, 2021 (64 Degrees)**

- Course-embedded assignments (e.g. paper, presentation, poster, portfolio, or exhibition) evaluated using a rubric: 48
- Overall/holistic evaluation of students' skills and knowledge by faculty or other professionals: 20
- Course-embedded exam scores or evaluation of open-ended exam responses using a rubric: 19
- Internship supervisor, preceptor, or employer evaluation of students' skills and knowledge: 16
- National exam scores (e.g. certification or other standardized test): 11
- Other direct measure: 10
- ANY direct measure(s) collected in past year: 52 (81%)

Note: Will not sum to 52 because some programs collected more than one measure.

#### Types of Indirect Assessment Measures Collected in Past Year
**Undergraduate Degree Program Assessment Reports, 2021 (64 Degrees)**

**Student Perspectives & Experience:**
- Student survey (e.g. NSSE, exit, or other): 48
- Student self-assessment or reflection: 14
- Interviews (e.g. exit or other): 13
- Focus group: 9
- Alumni survey: 4
- Other student perspectives & experience: 4

**Professional Perspectives & Input:**
- Faculty review of curriculum, SLOs, syllabi, or assignment prompts: 43
- Internship supervisor, preceptor, or employer feedback on student activities, motivation, etc: 21
- Advisory board: 20
- Feedback from external accreditors: 6
- Employer survey: 5
- Other professional perspectives & input: 4

**Indicators of Progress, Success, etc:**
- Institutional/Internal data (e.g. student demographics, retention): 25
- Grades: 24
- Participation rates (research, internship, service learning, study abroad, etc): 20
- Other indicator of progress, success, retention, etc: 4
- ANY indirect measure(s) collected in past year: 56 (88%)

Note: Will not sum to 56 because some programs collected more than one measure.